

# ROBINSON–SCHENSTED SHAPES ARISING FROM CYCLE DECOMPOSITIONS

Mark Sepanski

Baylor University

*This talk is based on joint work with Martha Du Preez, William Erickson, Jonathan Feigert, Markus Hunziker, Jonathan Meddaugh, Mitchell Minyard, and Kyle Rosengartner*

**Statement of the Problem.** At the heart of classical algebraic combinatorics is the representation theory of the symmetric group  $S_n$ . In turn, much of this theory can be expressed in terms of integer partitions. In this work, we describe the subtle relationship between two partitions closely associated with each element  $\sigma \in S_n$ : the *cycle type* of  $\sigma$ , on one hand, and the *shape* of  $\sigma$  via the Robinson–Schensted correspondence on the other hand. Although separately each of these partitions is fundamental to the general theory, the two had not yet been studied *together* until a very recent paper [1] treating the special case where  $\sigma$  is a cyclic (or almost cyclic) permutation. (The most closely related works study cycle types and descents [2], or shapes and inversions [3].) A natural question is the following: which shapes arise from the elements of a given cycle type?

It is well known that the conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  (and also its irreducible complex representations) can be naturally labeled by the integer partitions  $\alpha$  of  $n$ , written as  $\alpha \vdash n$ . In particular, the conjugacy class of  $\sigma \in S_n$  is labeled by the partition  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  giving the *cycle type* of  $\sigma$ , which is easily read off from the expression of  $\sigma$  in disjoint cycle notation:  $\sigma = (\alpha_1\text{-cycle})(\alpha_2\text{-cycle}) \cdots (\alpha_r\text{-cycle})$ . Throughout the paper, we write  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  to denote the conjugacy class of  $S_n$  consisting of elements with cycle type  $\alpha$ .

Another key concept in the representation theory of  $S_n$  and in algebraic combinatorics in general is the Robinson–Schensted (RS) correspondence. The RS correspondence is a bijection

$$S_n \xrightarrow{\text{RS}} \coprod_{\lambda \vdash n} \text{SYT}(\lambda) \times \text{SYT}(\lambda),$$

where  $\text{SYT}(\lambda)$  denotes the set of standard Young tableaux with shape  $\lambda$ , meaning that the partition  $\lambda$  gives the row lengths of the tableaux. If the RS correspondence takes  $\sigma$  to a pair  $(P, Q) \in \text{SYT}(\lambda) \times \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ , then we say that  $\lambda$  is the *RS shape* of  $\sigma$ , which we denote by writing  $\text{sh}(\sigma) = \lambda$ . Thus in the example

$$\sigma = (3, 5, 4, 7)(1, 2, 6) \xrightarrow{\text{RS}} \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & \\ \hline 5 & & \\ \hline 6 & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 7 & \\ \hline 5 & & \\ \hline 6 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \quad (1)$$

we have  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{(4,3)}$ , and  $\text{sh}(\sigma) = (3, 2, 1, 1)$ . The main problem of our work is to describe the elements of

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha := \{\text{sh}(\sigma) : \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha\}.$$

**Main Result.** As a preliminary result, for all  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \vdash n$ , we prove that the

partitions in  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$  have Young diagrams fitting inside a certain bounding box:

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\alpha, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha := \left\{ \lambda \vdash n : \begin{array}{l} \lambda \text{ has at most} \\ (n - r + \#\{i : \alpha_i = 2\} + \delta_{1,\alpha_r}) \text{ many rows and} \\ (n - r + \#\{i : \alpha_i = 1\}) \text{ many columns} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

For example, if  $\alpha = (4, 2)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  consists of all partitions of  $n = 6$  whose Young diagram fits inside the box of dimensions  $(6 - 2 + 1 + 0) \times (6 - 2 + 0) = 5 \times 4$ . Concretely, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{(4,2)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \right\}.$$

For certain cycle types  $\alpha$ , the containment in (2) is, in fact, an equality. We can thus reframe our main problem as follows: classify the cycle types  $\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ , and for the remaining cycle types  $\alpha$ , determine the complement  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ . The following theorem is the main result of our paper, where we solve the problem in the case  $r = 2$ , that is, where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \vdash n$ .*

1. *If  $n$  is odd, then  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ .*
2. *If  $n$  is even, then  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \mathcal{B}_\alpha$  unless  $\alpha$  occurs in the following table:*

| $\alpha$                                         | $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| $(n - 1, 1)$                                     | $\{(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2})\}$                  |
| $(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$ , where $4 \mid n$  | $\{(n - 2, 1, 1), (3, 1, \dots, 1)\}$             |
| $(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$ , where $4 \nmid n$ | $\{(n - 2, 1, 1)\}$                               |
| $(4, 2)$                                         | $\{(2, 2, 2)\}$                                   |
| $(5, 3)$                                         | $\{(2, 2, 2, 2)\}$                                |

Our Theorem 1 generalizes the main result of [1], which can be restated as follows, using the language of the present paper:

1. If  $n$  is odd, then  $\mathcal{S}_{(n)} = \mathcal{B}_{(n)}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{(n-1,1)} = \mathcal{B}_{(n-1,1)}$ .
2. If  $n$  is even, then  $\mathcal{S}_{(n)} = \mathcal{B}_{(n)}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{(n-1,1)} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{(n-1,1)} = \{(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2})\}$ .

We emphasize that by introducing the bounding box  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ , we are able to state these results in a uniform manner, thus avoiding the need to designate certain “trivial shapes” and to make exceptions for small values of  $n$ .

**Admissible Tableaux and  $\alpha$ -colorings.** In order to prove Theorem 1, we introduce two key combinatorial objects, which we call *admissible tableaux* and  *$\alpha$ -colorings*. We say a standard tableau is *admissible* if it remains standard when justified along the bottom (i.e., when acted on by “gravity”). It turns out that the admissibility of a tableau  $Q$  is equivalent to the following: for any tableau  $P$  of the same shape, the permutation  $RS^{-1}(P, Q)$  can be read off from  $P$  by following the order of the entries in the vertical reflection of  $Q$ , which we denote by  $Q^\uparrow$ . In turn, for an admissible  $Q \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ , an  *$\alpha$ -coloring* of  $Q^\uparrow$  is a coloring of its entries which, via a certain canonical spiral construction, produces a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  with  $\text{sh}(\sigma) = \lambda$ . This  $\sigma$  cyclically permutes the entries of each color in  $Q^\uparrow$  to obtain a standard  $P$  such that  $\sigma = RS^{-1}(P, Q)$ . The crux of the paper is therefore where we construct  $\alpha$ -colorings for all shapes  $\lambda$ , with the exception of the pairs  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  given above in the table in Theorem 1. (There is also one family  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  such that  $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha$  but no  $\alpha$ -coloring exists; in this case we exhibit the requisite  $\sigma$  directly.)

**Open Problems and Conjectures.** As a first step toward extending the results in this paper to generic cycle types  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  where  $r > 2$ , we point out a special case, following from a result of Schützenberger relating to involutions, in which we can describe  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$  explicitly, namely when  $\alpha_1 \leq 2$ :

$$\mathcal{S}_{(2^{r-k}, 1^k)} = \{\lambda \vdash n : \lambda \text{ has exactly } k \text{ many columns of odd length}\}.$$

Outside of this special case described above, however, for  $r > 2$ , an explicit and comprehensive description of  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$  quickly becomes quite complicated. Somewhat surprisingly, the source of these complications lies entirely in the presence of repeated values among the  $\alpha_i$ ’s. In fact, we conjecture that for  $r > 2$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \mathcal{B}_\alpha$  whenever  $\alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_r > 1$ . (It would then follow that for a fixed  $r$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the proportion of cycle types satisfying  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \mathcal{B}_\alpha$  approaches 100%.) See the last section of our paper for additional details, along with further conjectures and open problems concerning cycle types and  $\alpha$ -colorings.

## REFERENCES

- 
- [1] A. Goel and S. Rubinstein-Salzedo (2024). *RS-complete cycle types*. *Australas. J. Combin.*, 89, 215–233.
  - [2] I. Gessel and C. Reutenauer (1993). *Counting permutations with given cycle structure and descent set*. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 64(2), 189–215.
  - [3] A. Ayer and N. Banerjee (2022). *The number of inversions of permutations with fixed shape*. *Enumer. Comb. Appl.*, 2(4), 14p.