

This talk is based on joint work with Svante Linusson

In 2012, Claesson, Jelínek and Steingrímsson tackled the notoriously difficult problem of enumerating the 1324-avoiders, leading to the first interesting upper bound for their Stanley–Wilf limit [2]. They also formulated the *inversion-monotonicity conjecture*, whose proof would improve the upper bound even further. In this work, we prove half of the conjecture. Let $\text{Av}_n^k(\tau)$ denote the set of τ -avoiders of length n with k inversions, and $\text{av}_n^k(\tau) = |\text{Av}_n^k(\tau)|$.

Conjecture 1 (Conjecture 13 in [2]). *For all nonnegative integers n and k ,*

$$\text{av}_n^k(1324) \leq \text{av}_{n+1}^k(1324).$$

Any $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with $n \geq \text{inv}(\pi) + 2$ is (sum) decomposable. A decomposable 1324-avoider is necessarily of the form

$$\pi = \pi^{(1)} \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus \dots \oplus 1 \oplus \pi^{(2)},$$

where $\pi^{(1)} \in \text{Av}(132)$ and $\pi^{(2)} \in \text{Av}(213)$; therefore

$$\text{av}_n^k(1324) = \sum_{i=0}^k p(i)p(k-i) =: a(k) \quad \text{for all } n \geq k+2, \quad (1)$$

where $p(k)$ is the number of integer partitions of k . Using the classical estimate $p(k) < \exp(\pi\sqrt{2k/3})$ along with (1), one can show that Conjecture 1 implies the bound

$$L(1324) < \exp(\pi\sqrt{2/3}) \approx 13.001594.$$

This would still today be the best known upper bound for the Stanley–Wilf limit of the 1324-avoiders: the current records are $10.27 \leq L(1324) \leq 13.5$ due to Bevan, Brignall, Elvey Price and Pantone [1]. Convincing estimates by Conway, Guttmann and Zinn-Justin point at the actual value being $L(1324) \approx 1.600 \pm 0.003$ [3].

Since 2012, Conjecture 1 has received much attention. Our main result marks the first bit of progress: the conjecture holds for all k and n such that $n \geq \frac{k+7}{2}$. The proof relies on a structural characterization of the permutations in question in terms of a new notion of *almost-decomposability*, leading to an enumeration.

Theorem 2. *For all nonnegative integers k and $n \geq \frac{k+7}{2}$,*

$$\text{av}_n^k(1324) = a(k) - 4a(k-n+1) - 6 \sum_{i=0}^{k-n} a(i), \quad (2)$$

where $a(k) = \sum_{i=0}^k p(i)p(k-i)$ and $p(k)$ is the number of integer partitions of k . In particular,

$$\text{av}_{n+1}^k(1324) - \text{av}_n^k(1324) = 4a(k-n+1) + 2a(k-n) \geq 0,$$

and this difference has a combinatorial interpretation.

Remark 3. In the language of generating functions, Theorem 2 states that

$$\text{av}_n^k(1324) = [x^k] \left(P(x)^2 - \frac{R_n(x)}{1-x} \right)$$

whenever $n \geq \frac{k+7}{2}$, where $P(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} p(i)x^i$ is the generating function for the partition numbers and $R_n(x) = 2(2+x)x^{n-1}P(x)^2$. In particular,

$$\text{av}_{n+1}^k(1324) - \text{av}_n^k(1324) = [x^k]R_n(x).$$

This wording is more convenient for the proof.

Observe that Conjecture 1 holds (with equality) for all k and n such that $n \geq k+2$ due to (1). It therefore remained to prove that the conjecture holds when $n \leq k+1$, and after Theorem 2, only the part $n < \frac{k+7}{2}$ remains. Table 1 shows the values of $\text{av}_n^k(1324)$ for $n \leq 20$, $k \leq 25$. The constant part of each column is colored in blue; the sequence $1, 2, 5, 10, 20, \dots$ is given by $a(k)$ from (1). Theorem 2 gives the values contained in the red cells with formula (2). The uncolored cells mark the region for which Conjecture 1 is still open. A larger version of the table is available at <https://akc.is/inv-mono/> courtesy of Anders Claesson.

$n \backslash k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
1	1																									
2	1	1																								
3	1	2	2	1																						
4	1	2	5	6	5	3	1																			
5	1	2	5	10	16	20	15																			
6	1	2	5	10	20	32	51	67	79	80	68	49	29	14	5	1										
7	1	2	5	10	20	36	61	96	148	208	268	321	351	347	308	241	165	98	49	20	6	1				
8	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	106	171	262	397	568	784	1019	1264	1478	1628	1681	1619	1441	1173	866	574	338	174	76
9	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	181	286	443	664	985	1416	1988	2715	3589	4579	5631	6654	7559	8225	8545	8457	7930	7006
10	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	296	467	714	1077	1582	2305	3284	4617	6374	8665	11521	15012	19067	23599	28426	33300	37862
11	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	477	738	1127	1682	2477	3584	5134	7240	10100	13915	18976	25563	34017	44640	57739	73421
12	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	481	748	1151	1732	2577	3768	5450	7766	10976	15312	21171	28973	39338	52919	70657	93482
13	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	481	752	1161	1756	2627	3868	5634	8098	11526	16216	22632	31266	42845	58213	78531	105137
14	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	481	752	1165	1766	2651	3918	5734	8282	11858	16786	23568	32768	45234	61902	84130	113477
15	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	481	752	1165	1770	2661	3942	5784	8382	12042	17118	24138	33728	46776	64346	87939	119306
16	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	481	752	1165	1770	2665	3952	5808	8432	12142	17302	24470	34298	47736	65916	90431	123184
17	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	481	752	1165	1770	2665	3956	5818	8456	12192	17402	24654	34630	48306	66876	92001	125708
18	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	481	752	1165	1770	2665	3956	5822	8466	12216	17452	24754	34814	48638	67446	92961	127278
19	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	481	752	1165	1770	2665	3956	5822	8470	12226	17476	24804	34914	48822	67778	93531	128238
20	1	2	5	10	20	36	65	110	185	300	481	752	1165	1770	2665	3956	5822	8470	12230	17486	24828	34964	48922	67962	93863	128808

Table 1: The numbers $\text{av}_n^k(1324)$.

Our proof of Theorem 2 uses a structural characterization of 1324-avoiders with few inversions. We say that a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is *almost decomposable* if it is indecomposable, but

$$\max \{ \text{comp}(\pi \setminus e) : e \in \{1, \pi_1, n, \pi_n\} \} \geq 2. \quad (3)$$

Here $\pi \setminus \pi_i$ denotes the reduction of $\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \pi_{i+1} \dots \pi_n$, and $\text{comp}(\pi)$ is the number of sum components of π .

Theorem 4. *Every indecomposable 1324-avoider π such that $\text{inv}(\pi) \leq 2|\pi| - 7$ is almost decomposable.*

Almost-decomposability allows us to construct an injection $\text{Av}_{n+1}^k(1324) \rightarrow \text{Av}_n^k(1324)$ in a natural way when $n \geq \frac{k+7}{2}$. If $\pi \in \text{Av}(1324)$ is decomposable, it is of the form

$$\pi = \pi^{(1)} \oplus \underbrace{1 \oplus 1 \oplus \dots \oplus 1}_{m \text{ times}} \oplus \pi^{(2)},$$

and we can set

$$f(\pi) = \pi^{(1)} \oplus \underbrace{1 \oplus 1 \oplus \dots \oplus 1}_{m+1 \text{ times}} \oplus \pi^{(2)}.$$

On the other hand, if π is indecomposable but $\pi \setminus \pi_1$ is decomposable, we let $f(\pi)$ be the permutation σ such that $\sigma_1 = \pi_1$ and $\sigma \setminus \sigma_1 = f(\pi \setminus \pi_1)$. This is easily extended to the other cases of (3) with symmetries, and f preserves both 1324-avoidance and inversion number.

Theorem 5. *Let k and n be nonnegative integers such that $n \geq \frac{k+7}{2}$. The map*

$$f : \text{Av}_n^k(1324) \longrightarrow \text{Av}_{n+1}^k(1324)$$

we defined above is injective.

The remainder of the proof of Theorem 2 relies on a careful enumeration of the collection of permutations $\text{Av}_{n+1}^k(1324) \setminus \text{im } f$. Complete proofs of Theorems 2, 4 and 5 are available in our preprint [4]. At least two natural questions arise:

- Can our method be extended to prove a larger part of Conjecture 1?
- Does almost-decomposability have other uses?

REFERENCES

-
- [1] D. Bevan, R. Brignall, A. Elvey Price, and J. Pantone. “A structural characterisation of $\text{Av}(1324)$ and new bounds on its growth rate”. In: *European J. Combin.* 88 (2020), 103115.
 - [2] A. Claesson, V. Jelínek, and E. Steingrímsson. “Upper bounds for the Stanley-Wilf limit of 1324 and other layered patterns”. In: *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 119.8 (2012), pp. 1680–1691.,
 - [3] A. R. Conway, A. J. Guttmann, and P. Zinn-Justin. “1324-avoiding permutations revisited”. In: *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 96 (2018), pp. 312–333.
 - [4] S. Linusson and E. Verkama. “Enumerating 1324-avoiders with few inversions”. [arXiv:2408.15075](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.15075) (2024).